

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,335	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM, JUN-SEOG	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dieu-Minh Le	<b>Art Unit</b> 2114	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Dieu-Minh Le.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Robert E. Bushnell [Reg. No. 27,774].

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2006
**Time:** 4:35pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 35 USC 101, 112 & 103

Claims discussed:  
 1-23

Prior art documents discussed:  
 prior arts + 6,950,864

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Main issues discussed were 101 objection in claims 11, 18-19; 112 in claims 19-23; 103 rejection over the prior arts of record and objection of claims 9, 17 and 19. Applicant's Attorney indicated that the Applicant was not available for consider the prior art suggested by Examiner. .